
Ms. Charly D Miller Finally Responds To
The Lies and Falsely Negative Innuendos
Posted By
axisofquackery.wordpress,
medicalcrackpots.wordpress,
and Circulated by Others of their ILK!

Three Reasons I have Previously *IGNORED* Those Who Post Lies and Misinformation About Me on the Internet.

Reason #1)

Anyone with merely a *modicum* of intelligence can read the posted deposition transcript pages Miller-Bashers reference in an attempt to support their lies and falsely negative innuendo. Those who read the posted pages clearly observe that, not only are the allegations associated with those documents FALSE, they are *contrary* to the posted information. Only incredibly ignorant individuals, lazy individuals, or persons with a personal interest in promoting lethal forms of restraint would actually give these allegations any attention, whatsoever. So, why should I bother responding to this krappe?

Reason #2)

Those who have posted or promoted these lies don't identify themselves!
They remain blatantly anonymous, or employ untraceable aliases – sometimes more than one.

Here are the most recent examples of these facts:

- The Email address for “Anne Onime” (a Google Group poster of lies and misinformation about myself and others) is <**anonymous@rip.ax.lt**>. Her(?) “Profile” is BLANK.
- The Email address used by “Audra Steve Entwistle” (another Google Group poster of lies and misinformation) is <**familyofkids@hotmail.com**>. That same Email address was used by “Steve Smith” to personally send me an Email that was signed, “Shana and Martin, Houston, TX.”
- The Blogger who runs the **axisofquackery.wordpress** site **refuses to identify her/himself**.
- The Blogger who runs the **medicalcrackpots.wordpress** site **refuses to identify her/himself**.

I have long suspected that these Anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers, and folks hiding behind Aliases, are individuals who **SELL forceful-prone-restraint related products or technique training programs**. Why else would they be so fearful of identifying who they are?

Oh surprize! I just noticed that several of the “**Sponsored Links**” listed on the Google Group, “**alt.religion.scientology**” are for companies offering Restraint Training and Restraint devices – including handcuffs!

Bottom Line: If you cannot identify yourself when complaining about someone or something, you have **ZERO credibility** to begin with, and nothing you post or promote will be taken seriously by intelligent individuals. So, why should I bother paying attention to you?

Reason #3)

It takes a ton of time for me to write and post something on my website. Why? Because, unlike the Anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers (as well as the Anonymous Aliases who promote the krappe these folks post), I actually **research** what I write! I've never felt that such lazy and/or idiotic individuals deserve the expenditure of my time. In fact, I *still* don't believe they deserve the expenditure of my time!

HOWEVER!

In order to help OTHERS who have similarly been attacked by Anonymous Aliases, Quack and Crackpot Bogus Bloggers, I have decided to Respond to the Misinformation and Lies that have been posted on the Internet About Me, **ONCE!!!**

Here.

In Plain English.

Using small words.

False Allegation #1:

Ms. Charly D Miller was "Fired from a hospital for violating patient confidentiality"

This is the **CLEAREST EXAMPLE** of a blatant **LIE** posted by anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers!

In late October of 1998, while working as a field-operating Paramedic, I was accused of having violated patient confidentiality – by a person or persons who were never revealed to me. This accusation was investigated by several Denver Health Medical Center management personnel. Investigators couldn't find a single scrap of evidence to support the accusation. Why? Because I have *never* violated any patient's confidentiality!

My above statements were quite clearly reflected in the content of the Ruby Mann, et al. v. Taser International, Inc., et al. deposition page 54 – the page that accompanies the blatant lie posted on the anonymous axisofquackery site.

Facts not included in the Deposition Transcript:

The anonymous accusation that I violated patient confidentiality was directly related to my August of 1998 posting of a **Case Study** exploring the circumstances of a forceful-prone-restraint Near-Death incident that occurred in Denver, Colorado. I witnessed the incident because I was the Paramedic who responded to it. I followed proper channels to obtain all patient information related to the case. I wrote the case study without divulging any form of information that could be used to identify the patient (also without divulging any form of information that could be used to identify the specific **Denver Police Officers** who participated in the forceful-prone-restraint). Because proper protocol was followed, and patient confidentiality was NOT violated, that case study is still posted on my website:

<http://www.charlydmiller.com/RA/neardeath01.html>

I do not know, for a fact, **WHY** I was terminated from my position as a City and County of Denver Paramedic with the Denver Health Medical Center (formerly known as Denver General Hospital). They certainly had no legitimate reason to terminate me! Thus, I suspect I was terminated only because the **Powers That Be** felt compelled to satisfy the anonymous source of the false patient confidentiality violation accusation.

Because DHMC was an "At Will" employer at the time, they weren't required to have ANY reason, whatsoever, for my termination! Fighting an At Will termination would have been an incredibly expensive exercise in futility. And, I was already planning to leave Denver at that time. So, I simply left.

(This information was also quite clearly reflected in the content of the deposition pages posted on the anonymous axisofquackery site.)

In Plain English and Small Words:

Ms. Charly D Miller was NOT fired from a hospital (or from any other employer) for violating patient confidentiality. Were someone to *identify themselves* when stating something so egregiously libelous and unsupported by fact, I could successfully sue them. Thus, only Anonymous Aliases, Bogus Bloggers, and people too lazy to check their facts will ever print such garbage.

DO NOT TRUST anything else such people say – about anyone!

False Allegation #2:

Ms. Charly D Miller was “Fired from an ambulance company for drinking on the job”

This allegation is a perfect example of maliciously-posted **misinformation**. It’s falsehood is quite clearly demonstrated by the content of the associated Mann v. Taser deposition page 49 posted on the anonymous axisofquackery site.

I *was* fired from A-1 Ambulance Company in Colorado Springs, based on an entirely false accusation that I had been drinking on the job. I immediately moved to Denver (something I had already planned to do, because working for Denver General Paramedic Division was my ultimate goal), and filed a claim against this accusation with a Government Agency. That Agency investigated the drinking-on-the-job allegation and easily discovered it to be entirely UNSUBSTANTIATED and FALSE. Had I wished to be reinstated as a Paramedic with the Colorado Springs A-1 Ambulance company, I could have been. However, by the time I was exonerated from the false charge, I had already obtained employment with a private Ambulance Company in Denver, and didn’t want to move back to Colorado Springs.

Therefore, because I wasn’t legitimately fired and could have resumed my position with them, I actually *QUIT* working for A-1 Ambulance Company!

BTW: The agency that investigated and determined that A-1’s acting manager fired me under false pretenses was probably associated with the Denver Unemployment Office. I remember filing for unemployment benefits as soon as I moved to Denver. Happily, I obtained employment prior to receiving a *cent* of unemployment benefits.

In Plain English and Small Words:

Ms. Charly D Miller has never consumed alcohol while on any job, including while working as a fieldoperating Paramedic or EMT. Anyone who says that she *has* is **lying**, and likely has nefarious purposes for doing so. Do not trust anything else such people say – about Ms. Charly D Miller or any other persons!

False Allegation #3:

Ms. Charly D Miller “has not had an EMT license for quite a while”

This allegation is a perfect example of purposefully-posted **falsely negative innuendo** that has absolutely no relevance to anything in real life!

As quite clearly reflected in the Mann v. Taser deposition page 47 that accompanies the anonymous axisofquackery’s posting of this falsely negative innuendo, I didn’t hesitate to identify the date that I stopped

actively practicing as a Paramedic (November of 1998). This is because I never said that I *was* actively practicing or licensed Paramedic after that date.

Furthermore, being an actively practicing, licensed Paramedic, is not something required to teach Emergency Medical Services classes ... not something required to write EMS textbooks ... and not something required to be an EMS Expert Witness. If it **were**, many EMS educators, authors, and Expert Witnesses would be without a job!

Bogus Bloggers and Anonymous Aliases maliciously post and promote this kind of comment only because they expect uneducated people to automatically interpret it as something that discredits me as an educator, author, or Expert Witness. IT DOES NOT!

In Plain English and Small Words:

Ms. Charly D Miller has always honestly identified the fact that she stopped actively working as a licensed Paramedic in November of 1998 – because that fact does **not** disqualify her from being considered a reputable and respected EMS educator, author, or Expert Witness. Anyone who says otherwise is NOT TO BE TRUSTED.

False Allegation #4:

Ms. Charly D Miller “does not know state EMT licensing requirements”

And yet **another** example of purposefully-posted **falsely negative innuendo** that has absolutely no relevance to anything in real life!

As quite clearly reflected in the Mann v. Taser deposition page 44 on the anonymous axisofquackery site, I didn't hesitate to identify the fact that I wasn't aware of Nebraska or Georgia EMT Licensing requirements. This is because I have *never been* an actively practicing (licensed or certified) Paramedic in those states. Thus, I have absolutely no reason to know their licensing requirements.

In Plain English and Small Words:

Ms. Charly D Miller has never worked as a Paramedic in Nebraska or Georgia; and is not required to know EMT licensing requirements for individual states in order to be considered a reputable and respected EMS educator, author, or Expert Witness in *any* state.

False Allegation #5:

Ms. Charly D Miller’s “education, in her own words”

Bogus Bloggers and Anonymous Aliases clearly expect that their audience is too stoopid to figure out how ridiculous their posts are!

In association with Mann v. Taser deposition pages 39 and 40, the anonymous axisofquackery Blogger wrote;

- In the course of her deposition, Charly D. Miller was asked about her educational background.
- She attended the University of Nebraska for two and a half years. She did not graduate.
- She majored in English and theater.
- She did not take any courses in chemistry, biology, physics, scientific research, or scientific methodology.

Oh, surprize. I answered all education questions honestly and without prevarication. Why? Because there is absolutely nothing wrong with my educational background. Even in its shortest version (a Resume) my

educational background more than adequately demonstrates my qualification as a reputable and respected EMS educator, author, or Expert Witness.

<http://www.charlydmiller.com/chasresume.html>

In Plain English and Small Words:

The 13-page CV Ms. Charly D Miller submitted to the defense attorneys in the Mann v. Taser case accurately identified that her educational background fully qualifies her as a reputable and respected EMS educator, author, and Expert Witness.

False Allegation #6:

Ms. Charly D Miller's association with the "International Wenches Guild[sic]"

In association with Mann v. Taser deposition page 14, the anonymous axisofquackery Blogger wrote;

In her deposition, Charly D. Miller refused to provide her home address.

She did, however, admit to belonging to something called the "International Wenches Guild" (presumably "Guild").

It is not clear what, if any, relevance, wenches have to the topics of prone restraint or restraint asphyxia.

First of all: Of course I'm not going to provide my home address in a publicly-accessible deposition! I live with my elderly parents, for the purpose of taking care of them and keeping them safe. Yet, even if it were merely MY address –MY SAFETY at risk – there is no way in hell that I'm going to provide my home address to people like the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers! They (and/or their readers) may be the kinds of people who bomb Planned Parenthood clinics ... the kinds of people who walk into Baptist Churches and gun-down the congregation ... the kinds of people who promote violence of any kind, in any place, at any time – simply because someone is different from them!

Happily, my reasons for refusing to provide my home address in a publicly accessible deposition are recognized by the courts as being entirely LEGITIMATE. Thus, I am *not* (nor is any other witness) legally required to do so.

Secondly: Yes, it is a GUILD. "Gild" was obviously a deposition transcription error.

Thirdly: It is my HOBBY!!!

Lastly: I made it quite clear in the deposition that my hobby HAS NO RELEVANCE to the topics of forceful-prone-restraint and restraint asphyxia. [Did the Quack Blogger ever bother to *read* the pages she/he posted to allegedly "support" the lies and falsely negative innuendo she/he wrote???

Apparently, the Quack Blogger hoped to insinuate **negative innuendo** by identifying me as being associated with something called the "WENCHES" Guild. Happily (again!), I trust that intelligent individuals would research such a negative insinuation before affording it any credence.

To make it SUPER EASY for EVERYONE, here is the International Wenches Guild's Website address:

<http://www.wench.org/>

In the first sentence of their Website, the IWG identifies "WENCH" as an acronym for:

Women

Entitled to

Nothing but

Complete

Happiness

HEY! Go to an INDEPENDENT website: <http://www.acronymfinder.com> ...

Type-in WENCH ... Click on FIND.

What the Bogus Bloggers (and their ilk) obviously don't know:

Even the most neophyte attorney would never bring up my hobby while in court. Being so obviously unrelated to my professional work, every attorney knows that the Judge would toss it out, and then would severely admonish the attorney for being an IDIOT. However! Opposing attorneys often brought my Ren Fest hobby up in depositions. Why? **In hopes of "rattling" me.** That's it! But, invariably, when they did so, I ended up rattling *them*, because I thoroughly enjoyed their introduction of my Ren Fest Hobby – especially the pictures. I absolutely *adored* pointing to the thing perched between my up-thrust breasts and introducing them to **Teddy!** (It's a hoot to watch opposing attorneys BLUSH!!! LOL)

To provide further proof that my HOBBY has absolutely no relationship to my professional activities; here is the address of my Personal Renaissance Festival Website:

<http://www.angelfire.com/ne2/renaissancesite/index.html>

Yes! I have a stuffed "Titmouse" named, Teddy.

Does Teddy or anything else about my hobby of attending Renaissance Faires disqualify me as a reputable and respected EMS educator, author, or Expert Witness?

Nope.

In Plain English and Small Words:

Because there is a legitimately high probability that people such as the anonymous axisofquackery or medicalcrackpots Bloggers are DEVIANTS who might engage in physical attacks when their pathetic printed attacks fail to accomplish their dubious goals, no court in the United States of America requires any witness to provide her/his home address in a public record.

Because my Hobby of attending Renaissance Festivals has absolutely nothing to do with emergency medicine or restraint asphyxia, the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers cite it only in hopes of insinuating a negative connotation associated with something entirely innocent and fun.

BTW: I'm happy to have this opportunity to introduce you to the WENCHES! I hope you'll have time to visit MY Ren Website and enjoy my tips for furthering your enjoyment when attending Ren Faires.

False Allegation #7:

Ms. Charly D Miller's "Unspecified threats, unreported to the police"

<http://axisofquackery.wordpress.com/2009/08/14/deposition-of-charly-d-miller-unspecified-threats/>

In association with her/his posting of Mann v. Taser deposition page 6 at the above address, the anonymous axisofquackery Blogger wrote;

At the onset of her deposition, Charly D. Miller was asked for her home address.

She refused to provide it.

She stated she had heard rumors of threats against her. She was unclear as to the nature of these threats.

When asked if she had reported them to the police, she replied that she had not.

Charly D. Miller has a right to privacy.

Charly D. Miller also has a responsibility to report threats, as they may affect those around her.

NO ONE has a "responsibility" to report *unsubstantiated* threats to the Police!

If the Police were interested in learning of such unsubstantiated threats, I long ago would have reported **the axisofquackery and medicalcrackpots Bloggers!** After all, THEY are the people whining about not knowing where I live. WHY do they want to know where I live? Is it because they want to send me a colorful Christmas Card or a box of delicious chocolates on my birthday? I think NOT. Do they want to know where I live

because they are DEVIANTS eager to encourage the perpetration of vandalism and violence at my home?
Hello!

In Plain English and Small Words:

Because there is a legitimately high probability that individuals Quack and Crackpot Bloggers (and others of their ilk) are DEVIANTS who might engage in physical attacks when their pathetic printed attacks fail to accomplish their dubious goals, no court in the United States of America requires any witness to provide her/his home address in a public record.

Because I RESPECT them, I am not about to tax Law Enforcement personnel with reports of unsubstantiated threats and rumors.

HOWEVER. The anonymous axisofquackery and medicalcrackpots Bloggers have clearly proven themselves to be liars, and their actions indicate a high probability that they might be DEVIANTS! Thus, in the event that acts of vandalism or violence *are* perpetrated at my home, they'll be at the TOP of the list I'll provide to the Police of potentially threatening persons.

LESSONS LEARNED!

1. Using their own references, I have demonstrated the clear and indisputable FACT that **ALL** the accusations and insinuations posted about me by the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers are nothing but lies and falsely negative innuendos.
2. Because what was posted about ME by the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers so clearly consists of nothing more than lies and falsely negative innuendos, NOTHING **ELSE** THEY HAVE POSTED (or might post in the future) CAN BE TRUSTED!
3. Because they post lies, EVERY PERSON denigrated and lambasted by the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers can logically be recognized as being the **OPPOSITE** of what these Bogus Bloggers have said they are.
People the Quack and Crackpot Bloggers **DON'T like** are precisely the people you should trust!
4. Because they post lies, every person PROMOTED by the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers can logically be recognized as being the **OPPOSITE** of what these Bogus Bloggers have said they are.
People the Quack and Crackpot Bloggers **DO like** are precisely the people you should NOT trust!
5. Anyone who CITES the Quack and Crackpot Blog entries in an effort to insinuate support for perpetuating these lies and falsely negative innuendos is probably:
 - (a) Incredibly Stupid
 - (b) Terrifically Lazy
 - (c) Motivated by a financial or deviant interest in continuing the subjection of children and adults to lethal forms of restraint.
 - (d) ANY or ALL of the Above!

ONE FINAL NOTE!!!

As soon as my response to the anonymous Quack and Crackpot Bloggers' lies and falsely negative innuendos appears on the Internet, the Quack Bogus Blogger may DELETE the deposition pages she/he previously posted. After all, those documents support **ME** – not the Bogus Bloggers!!!

Thus, I am inserting all of those deposition pages at the **END** of this PDF.

USE YOUR BACK BUTTON To Return To Wherever You Came From
OR Use the Following Links:

Return to the Restraint Asphyxia LIBRARY:

<http://www.charlydmiller.com/RA/RAlibrary.html#LiesPosted>

Return to the Restraint Asphyxia Newz Directory:

<http://www.charlydmiller.com/ranewz.html#LiesPosted>

Go to **CHAS' HOME PAGE**:

<http://www.charlydmiller.com>

 **Email Charly at:** c-d-miller@neb.rr.com

Those are hyphens (dashes) between the “c” and “d” and “miller”

1 individuals who become aggravated by
2 testimonies I may provide might use that
3 address for purposes known only to them that I
10:16 4 don't particularly want to suffer.

5 Q. Has anyone ever threatened your life
6 as a result of your work as a purported expert
7 witness in this case?

8 A. Not to my face.

10:17 9 Q. Well, have they done it in some way
10 that wasn't to your face?

11 A. I've heard rumors, yes.

12 Q. And what rumors have you heard?

13 A. I've heard rumors that individuals
10:17 14 were interested in causing me difficulties at
15 my home.

16 Q. And can you tell me who told you those
17 rumors and what did they --

18 A. This was several years ago when I
10:17 19 first started. This was when I adopted the
20 policy of not allowing my address to be placed
21 in a public record.

22 Q. Okay. Did you notify law enforcement
23 of that threat against you or that implied
10:17 24 threat against you?

25 A. No, I did not.

1 producing, I'm limiting it to organizations in
2 which you are involved in terms of just
3 learning about you. And we're picking a jury
10:24 4 in this case at some point, and I want to know
5 what affiliations that you have because part
6 of our job is to make sure that as we pick a
7 jury, that we ask questions related to
8 affiliations.

10:24 9 A. Okay. I am a registered member of a
10 gild, but I am not -- I do not represent them,
11 I don't participate in anything that they do.

12 Q. What's the name of that gild?

13 A. It's the International Wenches Gild.

10:24 14 Q. And what is the purpose or reason
15 behind that gild?

16 A. Again, it's a hobby involving the
17 attendance of renaissance fairs and festivals.

10:24 18 Q. I know that from looking at your
19 website you do regularly attend those fairs
20 and festivals year in and year out.

21 A. Yes. And again, that website is
22 totally unassociated with my professional
23 website. There is no link between those two
10:24 24 websites. It is not something that has
25 anything whatsoever to do with my work in a

1 you. Does the computer that you have here in
2 front of you contain all of your work product
3 regarding your expert witness work not only in
10:50 4 this case but in all the cases you have?

5 A. No.

6 Q. What is contained on this computer
7 that would be germane to your expert witness
8 work generally?

10:50 9 A. I made sure to bring the Fairbanks
10 case Georgia information.

11 Q. Is that all that's on there other than
12 personal stuff?

13 A. Correct.

10:50 14 Q. Again, I'll re-ask the question.

15 A. Okay. I attended college for two and
16 a half years. I worked in restaurant
17 management. There was a variety of
18 non-emergency medical services kinds of things
10:51 19 between 1974 and 1979.

20 Q. I understand that you attended the
21 University of Nebraska.

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And you majored in English and
10:51 24 theater, but you did not receive a degree, is
25 that right?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Did you take any classes in chemistry,
3 biology or physics while in college?

10:51 4 A. No, I did not.

5 Q. Did you take any courses in scientific
6 research or scientific methodology?

7 A. No, I did not. Apparently you have a
8 copy of my non-EMS list of things that I've
10:51 9 done.

10 Q. I have an outline that I'm going from.

11 A. Yeah. That's pretty much all that I
12 would have if I had it on my computer.

13 Q. I'm talking about an outline that I
10:51 14 created.

15 A. Oh, that you created?

16 Q. Well, actually, I wouldn't take full
17 credit for it, I had a little help -- lot of
18 help. Let's keep talking about between '74
10:51 19 and '76. Other than attending the University
20 of Nebraska, do you recall any other
21 employment activities that you engaged in
22 between '74 and '79? If you had a job as a
23 waitress or something like that, I don't

10:52 24 expect you to remember necessarily where, but
25 if you worked in jobs like that, just tell me

1 A. No.

2 Q. Are you aware of whether or not the
3 State of Nebraska now requires that?

10:55 4 A. No, I'm not.

5 Q. You're not aware of that?

6 A. I'm not aware of that, no.

7 Q. Are you aware of the requirements of
8 the State of Georgia regarding licensure or
10:56 9 certification of EMTs or paramedics before
10 they can serve in that capacity?

11 A. No, I am not.

12 Q. Have you ever attempted to find out
13 that information about any state?

10:56 14 A. Not that I can recall.

15 Q. Are you aware generally of the State
16 of Georgia requirements to allow an EMT or a
17 paramedic to practice in either of those
18 professions?

10:56 19 A. Unless it was contained in documents
20 that I reviewed, I'm not aware of anything
21 about the State of Georgia and the
22 requirements that an EMT is required to do.

23 Q. You have no awareness whatsoever of
10:56 24 the State of Georgia requirements regarding
25 what it takes for an EMT to get his license or

1 the National Registry you have to be working
2 for a medical director who signs off on the
3 pieces parts of what they require in order to
10:59 4 be eligible to take the examinations.

5 Q. How long has it been since you have
6 not been licensed or registered as a paramedic
7 or EMT in any state?

8 A. I don't recall when my Colorado
10:59 9 license would have expired, but I stopped
10 working in the streets in 19 -- in November of
11 1998.

12 Q. So you have not been licensed or
13 registered as a paramedic or an EMT in
10:59 14 approximately nine years, is that correct?

15 A. That would be correct, I believe,
16 yeah. Yes.

17 Q. Have you ever had your license --
18 well, let me back up. Colorado was the only
11:00 19 license you ever held as a paramedic or EMT?

20 A. Well, no, I received a license in
21 Wyoming, but they simply awarded me that
22 license based upon the fact that I was
23 currently nationally registered.

11:00 24 Q. Got you. Other than Wyoming and
25 Colorado, have you held a license to be a

1 Colorado Springs job.

2 Q. And that was A-1 Ambulance?

3 A. That was A-1 Ambulance.

11:01 4 Q. And that was due to an allegation that
5 you had been drinking alcohol on the job?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And you accepted that termination?

8 A. No, I did not. Well, I mean, I was
11:01 9 fired and I left, but I didn't accept the
10 termination.

11 Q. Did you challenge that termination by
12 filing any claim or any --

13 A. I did, and I don't recall the agency
11:02 14 that I filed the claim with. But they
15 investigated it and found the cause to be
16 unsupportable, and at that point in time I may
17 or may not have had the opportunity to become
18 reinstated, but by then I had already obtained
11:02 19 employment in Denver, so I was not interested
20 in going back to work in Colorado Springs.

21 Q. Who was your immediate supervisor at
22 A-1 in charge of or responsible for the
23 disciplinary action taken against you?

11:02 24 A. I don't remember his name. He was a
25 gentleman acting as a temporary, but it's like

1 leave, but they were never able to
2 substantiate a reason for me leaving. And by
3 that time it had switched over to the Denver
11:06 4 Health Medical Center, and they were an, I
5 believe the phrase is at-will employer,
6 meaning they didn't necessarily have to have a
7 reason in order to fire somebody, so it was
8 rather a muddy kind of circumstances. But
11:06 9 bottom line was is that I was ready to not be
10 working the streets anymore. And I had my
11 elderly parents, you know, required -- that's
12 why I moved back to Nebraska, so I could do
13 that.

11:06 14 Q. Were you fired from that Denver
15 General group?

16 A. I was terminated.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. I'm not going to use the term fired
11:06 19 because it has negative connotations, and I
20 don't believe they appropriately apply.

21 Q. We won't use the Donald Trump term.
22 You were terminated from Denver General. What
23 were the reasons they told you they were
11:06 24 terminating you?

25 A. They initially alleged that I had

1 violated patient confidentiality. They were
2 never able to substantiate that allegation.

3 Q. All right. Well, you said they
11:07 4 initially told you that. Did they later tell
5 you some other reason they were terminating
6 you from Denver General?

7 A. No.

8 Q. You were simply terminated, and that's
11:07 9 all you knew, other than the initial
10 allegation you didn't know why at the end of
11 the process?

12 A. That was the only allegation that they
13 ever -- that they ever filed. That was the
11:07 14 only complaint that was ever lodged against
15 me. And again, it was never substantiated
16 because it didn't happen.

17 Q. Did you bring any claim or make any
18 appeal through any process regarding your
11:07 19 termination?

20 A. I hired an attorney and considered it,
21 and then I abandoned it, again, because I
22 wasn't particularly interested in continuing
23 to work in the field. And I certainly wasn't
11:07 24 interested in a management position, so I had
25 done everything I really could do at Denver